



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/515,776	02/29/2000	Jeffrey S. Anderson	1006-024/MMM	1925
21034	7590	11/18/2003	EXAMINER [REDACTED]	LAO, LUN S
IPSOLON LLP 805 SW BROADWAY, #2740 PORTLAND, OR 97205			ART UNIT [REDACTED]	PAPER NUMBER 2643
DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5

(10)

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/515,776	ANDERSON, JEFFREY S.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lun-See Lao	2643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. This action is response to the amendment filed on 08-28-2003. Claim 12 has been canceled and claims 1, 11, 23 have been amended. Claims 1-11 and 13-32 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holbrook (US PAT. 4,612,663) in view of Applicant's prior art.

Consider claim 1 Holbrook teaches that a universal four-channel multimedia computer speaker system connectable to an audio subsystem control circuit of a multimedia computer, comprising:

four separately positionable audio speakers (see fig.1 (14,16,18,20); outputs for delivering distinct audio output signals to each of the four audio speakers (14,16,18,20), a first pair of the audio speakers receiving audio output signals corresponding to the first one of two pairs of audio signals (see col.2 line2 line 40); and

a proxy audio signal (28,32) component coupled to the outputs to provide to a second pair of the audio speakers a pair of distinct proxy audio output signals whenever the inputs receive only the first one of two pairs of audio input signals (see col.4 line 15-col.5 line10), but Holbrook does not teaches two pairs of inputs for receiving from the audio sub-system control circuit at least a first one of two pairs of audio input signals.

However, Applicant's prior art teaches two pairs of inputs for receiving from the audio sub-system control circuit at least a first one of two pairs of audio input signals (see fig.1, 12).

Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching Holbrook into Applicant's prior art to provide better stereo sound system.

Consider claims 2-5, Holbrook teaches that the computer speaker system of the second pair of the audio speakers receive audio output signals corresponding to the second one of the two pairs of audio input signals whenever the inputs receive both the first and second pairs of audio input signals (see col.4 line 10-col.5 line 10); and the computer speaker system of further comprising a housing that supports the inputs and outputs and encloses the proxy audio signal component (see col.2 line 15-40); and the housing further encloses one of the four audio speakers 9see fig.1, (14,18,16,20); and the housing further encloses none of the audio speakers of the computer speaker system (see abstract).

Consider claim 6 Holbrook fails to teach that the computer speaker system of further comprising a subwoofer speaker that is within a sub-woofer housing and receives a sub-woofer audio signal, the sub-woofer housing supporting the inputs and outputs and enclosing the proxy audio signal component.

However, Applicant's prior art teaches that the computer speaker system of further comprising a subwoofer speaker that is within a sub-woofer housing and receives a sub-woofer audio signal, the sub-woofer housing supporting the inputs and outputs and enclosing the proxy audio signal component (see fig.1, 28).

Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching Holbrook into applicant's prior art to provide good low frequency signal in the stereo sound system.

Consider claims 7-10, Holbrook teaches that the computer speaker system of the pair of distinct proxy audio output signals (18,20) are generated from the first one of two pairs of audio input signals (see col.4 line 15-col.5 line 10); and the pair of distinct proxy audio output signals include inverse differences of the first one of two pairs of audio input signals (see col.7 line 25-col.8 line 62); and the first one of two pairs of audio input signals includes a right front audio signal R_{STEREO} and a left front audio signal L_{STEREO} and the pair of distinct proxy audio output signals includes a right rear audio signal R'_{REAR} and a left rear audio signal L'_{REAR} wherein the proxy audio output signals correspond to the right and left front audio signals as follows:

$$R'_{REAR} = R_{STEREO} - L_{STEREO}$$

$$L'_{REAR} = L_{STEREO} - R_{STEREO}$$

(See col.7 line 25-col.8 line 62); and further comprising a signal enhancing filter (36) that filters (28,32) the pair of distinct proxy audio output signals for enhanced acoustic effect (see col.2 line15-35).

4. Claims 11-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cowieson (US PAT. 6,198,826) in view of Applicant's prior art.

5. Consider claim 11 Cowieson teaches a four-channel multimedia computer speaker system, comprising:

a proxy audio signal component (see fig.2 (47)) selectively coupled to a second pair of the outputs (SRout (+,-)) thereto a pair of distinct proxy audio output signals that are generated from the audio input signals received at the first pair of inputs (Rin (+,-))(see col.2 line 59-col.3 line 25); and

a switch element (47) that selectively couples the proxy audio signal component to the second pair of outputs (SRout (+,-)) whenever audio input signals are received at only the first pair of inputs (Rin (+,-)) (see col.3 line 25-col.4 line 45), but Cowieson does not clearly teach four inputs connectable to receive four distinct audio input signals from an audio sub-system control circuit of a multimedia computer; and outputs connectable to four separately positionable audio speakers; and couplings between a first pair of the inputs and a first pair of the outputs to deliver to the first pair of outputs audio input signals received at the first pair of inputs.

However, Applicant's prior art teach four inputs (see fig.1, 12) connectable to receive four distinct audio input signals from an audio sub-system control circuit of a multimedia computer; and outputs connectable to four separately positionable audio speakers (see fig.1, (20,22,24,26)); and inherently couplings between a first pair of the inputs and a first pair of the outputs to deliver to the first pair of outputs audio input signals received at the first pair of inputs (see specification page 7 line 18-page 8).

Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching Holbrook into Applicant's prior art to provide better stereo sound system.

Consider claims 11,21,23, Cowieson teaches a four-channel multimedia computer speaker system, comprising:

a proxy audio signal component (see fig.2, 34) selectively coupled to a second pair of the outputs (32, FRout (+,-)) to provide to thereto a pair of distinct proxy audio output signals that are generated from the audio input signals (Rin(+,-)) (see col.2 line 59-col.3 line 25); and

a first two of the four audio input signals including a right front audio signal R_{STEREO} and a left front audio signal L_{STEREO} and the pair of distinct proxy audio output signals including a right rear audio signal R'_{REAR} and a left rear audio signal L'_{REAR} , wherein the proxy audio output signals correspond to the right and left front audio signals as follows:

$$R'_{REAR} = R_{STEREO} - L_{STEREO}$$

$$L'_{REAR} = L_{STEREO} - R_{STEREO}$$

Whenever audio input signals are received at only two of the four inputs (see col.3 line 25-col.4 lines 45), but Cowieson does not clearly teach four inputs connectable to receive four distinct audio input signals from an audio sub-system control circuit of a multimedia computer and outputs connectable to four separately positionable audio speakers; and couplings between a first pair of the inputs and a first pair of the outputs audio input signals received at the first pair of inputs.

However, Applicant's prior art teach four inputs (see fig.1, 12) connectable to receive four distinct audio input signals from an audio sub-system control circuit of a multimedia computer; and outputs connectable to four separately positionable audio speakers (see fig.1, (20,22,24,26)); and inherently couplings between a first pair of the inputs and a first pair of the outputs to deliver to the first pair of outputs audio input signals received at the first pair of inputs (see specification page 7 line 18-page 8).

Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching Holbrook into Applicant's prior art to provide better stereo sound system.

Consider claims 12-14 and 24-26, Cowieson teaches the computer speaker system of further comprising a switch element (34) that selectively couples the proxy audio signal component to the outputs (see col.2 line 59-col.3 line 45); and the switch element (21,22) is manually operable by a user (see col.2 line 59-col.3 line 45); and the switch element (34) is operates automatically according to which inputs are connected to receive audio input signals from an audio sub-system control circuit (see col.2 line 59-col.3 line 45).

Consider claims 15-17 and 27-29, Cowieson teaches the computer speaker system of housing that supports the inputs and outputs and encloses the proxy audio signal component (see col.3 line 25-col.4 line 45); and the housing further encloses one of the four audio speakers (see fig.1a, (31,41,4,32)); and the housing further encloses none of the audio speakers of the computer speaker system (see abstract).

Consider claims 18-20 and 30-32, Cowieson teaches the computer speaker system of further comprising a subwoofer speaker that is within a sub-woofer housing and receives a sub-woofer audio signal, the sub-woofer housing supporting the inputs and outputs and enclosing the proxy audio signal component (col.1 line 60-col.2 line 35); and the pair of distinct proxy audio output signals (41,42) are generated from a first two of the four audio input signals (see col.2 line 10- col.3 line 25); and the pair of distinct proxy audio output signals include inverse differences of the first two of the four audio input signals (see col.2 line 59-col.4 line 45).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-11 and 13-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lao,Lun-See whose telephone number is (703) 305-2259. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Application/Control Number: 09/515,776
Art Unit: 2643

Page 10

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Lao, Lun-See
Patent Examiner
US Patent and Trademark Office
Crystal Park 2
(703)305-2259


DUC NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER